1.4.1 Structured feedback on curriculum obtained from 1)Students 2)Teachers 3)Employers 4)Alumni 5) Parents For design and review of syllabus semester wise/year wise (10)

A.Any 4 of the above

B.Any 3 of the above

C. Any 2 of the above

D. Any 1 of the above

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology is affiliated to University of Mumbai. The Revised Curriculum (**REV-2016**) was implemented with effect from the academic year 2016-2017. In addition to Outcome-Based Education(OBE), Choice Based Credit and grading system was implemented for the First Year of Engineering from the academic year 2016-2017. Subsequently, this system is carried forward for Second Year Engineering in the academic year 2017-2018, for Third Year Final Year Engineering in the academic years 2018-2019, 2019-2020 respectively.

Normally the revision of the curriculum takes place every four years. Institute has a limited role in the revision of the curriculum, being an affiliated institute to University of Mumbai. Most of the faculty members are actively involved in the curriculum revision process. Stakeholders play an active role in the revision process. Feedback is collected from the stakeholders such as Students, Faculty, Alumni and Parents. Feedback collection is carried in different modes like online feedback through Google forms, Institute's APMS portal and written feedback. The collected feedback is analyzed and a summary of the analysis report is submitted to the Dean of Faculty of Science & Technology, University of Mumbai, highlighting the points to be taken care of in designing the revised Curriculum.

SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS



STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department :					Academic year :		
P	rogram Name				Year/Semester : Roll Number :		
N	ame of the Stu	dent :					
	ease rate your v programme.	aluable fee	dback on	the curriculu	un for review of syllabus / to improve quality o		
1.	Rate how chall	enging was t	he syllabus	offered by the	e courses.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
2.	Rate the appro	priateness of	the sequen	ce of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
3.	Rate the depth industry/curre	COOK COME DISTRIBUTE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		urses in relati	ion to the competencies expected by		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
4.	Rate the seque	nce of the un	its/modules	in the course	s.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
5.	Rate the adequ	ateness of th	e textbooks	and referenc	e books mentioned for the courses.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
6.	Rate the syllab	us content of	the course	s in terms of b	ourden on the students.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
7,	Rate the design	of the cour	es in terms	of extra learn	ning or self-learning .		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
8.	Rate the flexibi	lity in choos	ing the elec	tives in relatio	on to technology advancements.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
9,	Rate the percer	atage of the	ourses offe	ring LAB con	nponents.		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
10.	Rate the compo				r science, Engineering science, Humanities, oject etc.?		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
An	y other sugges	tion(s):					
					<u></u>		
Da	ite:				Signature of Student		



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Program Na				Academic year :			
	une :			Year / Semester : Designation :			
Name of the	Faculty:						
Please rate y he program		dback on t	the curriculu	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality			
	ructure of the cu			-25% B			
OExcelle	nt. OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the a	ppropriateness o	f the sequer	ices of the cou	rses provided in the curriculum.			
OExceller	nt OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
	epth of the syllab urrent global sce		ourse in relati	on to the competencies expected by			
O Exceller	nt OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the s	equence of the ub	its/modules	in the course	r.			
OExceller	at OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the d	istribution of cre	dits to the c	ourse.				
OExceller	at OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the a	dequateness of te	xtbooks an	d reference bo	oks mentioned for the courses.			
OExceller	t OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the p	otential of the stu	idents in un	derstanding t	he course objectives.			
O Exceller	at OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the s	illabus content fo	or the cours	es in terms of	burden on students.			
OExceller	nt OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the e	xperiment list in	stimulating	the interest o	f students in the subject.			
OExceller	nt OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
0. Rate the c	ontribution of the	courses in	terms of Pro	fessional core area .			
O Exceller	nt OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
OExceller Rate the e OExceller Rate the c	nt at at	OVery Good periment list in OVery Good attribution of the	OVery Good O Good Decriment list in stimulating OVery Good O Good Decriporation of the courses in	OVery Good OGood OAverage			
	ontribution of the	e courses in	terms of Pro	fessional core area .			



ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name of the A	Jumni :			
Father's Name	0	ris.		
DOB (DD/MN	1/YY) :			
Year of Passin	ig:			Department :
Permanent Ac	idress :			100
E-mail ID :	the control of			Mobile No. :
Present	Type:	Self-employed	Private Sector	Public Sector Academics Other
Organization	Name :			100
Designation :	70			Present Location :
Field of worki	ng :	Core Inter-disc	iplinary 🔲 🗆	Γ Industry ☐ Administration ☐ Other
Market Sales	205	Master's Degree	Ph.D.	Not Applicable Other
Higher Educ	ation	Year of admission :		Same of the Programme :
		Name of the Institu	te :	
	adequate	eness of the courses of		ogram.
2. Rate the of Exceller 3. Rate the of	sufficien sat OV	cy of syllabus content ery Good O Good um in relation to you	to bridge the g OAverage	ap between academia and industry. Poor sional standards.
4. Rate the	kills acc	ery Good O Good (quired from the curri 'ery Good O Good	culum to face th	Poor e industry challenges/requirements. Poor
		's laboratory and equ 'ery Good O Good		eness for practical exposure. O Poor
		of electives in relation ory Good O Good		advancements. O Poor
		The courses in terms 'ery Good O Good		g or self-learning . O Poor
		and placement cell in 'ery Good O Good		olacement opportunities. Poor
		nce and support offer 'ery Good O Good		ers. O Poor
		's support and contril		verall development of students. O Poor
CERCEIR				
Any other st	iggestio	n(s):		



PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	ame & Occupation of Parents other: Occupation
M	other: Occupation Occupation
A	ldress :
_	Mobile No.:
N	ame of the Student :
De	epartment :Year
	ease rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of programme.
1.	Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
2.	Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
3,	Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage OPoor
4.	Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
5.	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
6.	Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
7.	Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
8.	Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your ward's self-growth.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
9.	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
10	. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage OPoor
ву	other suggestion(s):
05	VUIT.(CH)

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.

1.4.1. Sample forms of Feedback collected



Students Feedback



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: BEXTO		Academic year :	SH2DI 8 2019 - Sem 5	
Program Name: BE	- EXTC	Year/Semester :		
Name of the Student:	RITOD VERMA	Roll Number :	301762	

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

th	e programn	ie.			or symbols / to improve quanty or
1.	Rate how c	hallenging was	the syllabus	offered by the	courses.
		Overy Good			OPoor
2.	Rate the ap	propriateness o	f the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.	Rate the de- industry/cu	pth of the syllah rrent global sce	ous of the co	ourses in relati	on to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
4.	Rate the sec	quence of the un	its/module:	s in the courses	
	O Excellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Pour
5.	Rate the ade	equateness of th	e textbook	s and reference	books mentioned for the courses.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the syl	labus content of	f the course	s in terms of b	urden on the students.
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.	Rate the des	ign of the cours	ses in terms	of extra learn	ing or self-learning .
		OVery Good			O Poor
8.	Rate the flex	dibility in choos	ing the elec	tives in relation	n to technology advancements.
		OVery Good			O Poor
).	Rate the per	centage of the c	ourses offe	ring LAB com	ponents.
	OLICIA III	OVery Good		11.75	O Poor
0.	Rate the com Discipline co	position of the ore, discipline e	courses in t	terms of Basic n elective, proj	science, Engineering science, Humanities,
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
					latest technological develop- Subject, NOT FOR EXAMINATIONS I FOR STUDENTS! KNOWLEDGE
ne	nts as	part of	syllabu	S for the	Subject NOT FOR EXAMINATIONS
司	RATHER	FOR CONS	S'TANT	UPDATION	I FOR STUDENTS! KNOWLEDGE
	e: 10 - D				With the second
-	10-0	0 2010			Signature of Student



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi students feedback on curriculum

Department: Etectvical	Academic year: 2018-19
Program Name : BE-Flet	Year/Semester: 2nd / 3rd
Name of the Student : Mahesh Potday	Roll Number: Woldto

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

***	e programo	ue.					
1.	Rate how c	hallenging was	the syllabus	s offered by th	e courses.		
	OExcellen	t OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
2.	Rate the ap	propriateness o	f the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in t	he curriculum.	
		Very Good			O Poor		
3.	Rate the de industry/cu	pth of the syllal errent global see	ous of the co	ourses in relati	on to the compet	encies expected	l by
	Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
4.	Rate the sec	quence of the un	its/module:	s in the course:	š.		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	10 Good	OAverage	O Poor		
5.	Rate the ade	equateness of th	e textbooks	and reference	books mentione	d for the cours	es.
		OVery Good			O Poor		53/1/
6.	Rate the syl	labus content of	the course	s in terms of b	urden on the stud	lents.	
	State of the later	OVery Good			O Poor		
7.	Rate the des	ign of the cours	es in terms	of extra learni	ng or self-learnin	ıg.	
		O Very Good			O Poor	MO. III	
3.	Rate the flex	ibility in choosi	ng the elect	ives in relation	to technology a	ivancements.	
	San C	OVery Good			O Poor		
	Rate the per-	centage of the c	ourses offer	ing LAB comp	onents.		
		OVery Good		200	O Poor		
0.	Rate the com Discipline co	position of the ore, discipline el	courses in to	erms of Basic s	cience, Engineer	ing science, Hu	imanities,
-	STATE OF THE PARTY	OVery Good			O Poor		
ny	other sugge	estion(s):	advo	inte le	Chnology	Should	intluded
1	n syll					27.50.10	173(100) 64

Date: 12-12-18

Signature of Student



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : Con Pule	R ENGIN	HECING	Academic year : 2 21 2 2 2			
Program Name : BE	anditre 1	of safer all	V			
Name of the Student : Y	SHUTER SI	Nah Cotti	Roll Number			
Please rate your valuable the programme.	feedback on	the curricul	um for review of syllabus / to improve quality			
1. Rate how challenging w	as the syllabu	s offered by th	e courses.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
			rses provided in the curriculum.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
	labus of the c		ion to the competencies expected by			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
4. Rate the sequence of the			s.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
			e books mentioned for the courses.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
6. Rate the syllabus content						
OExcellent OVery Goo	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the design of the con	A CO					
OExcellent OVery Goo	od Good	OAverage	O Poor			
			n to technology advancements.			
OExcellent OVery Goo	d Ø Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the percentage of th						
OExcellent Overy Goo			O Poor			
	ie courses in	terms of Basic	science, Engineering science Humanities			
OExcellent OVery Goo			O Poor			
ny other suggestion(s):						

Date: 25/11/2018

Signature of Student

Agnel Charities'



Faculty Feedback



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: IT	Academic year: 2010-19
Program Name: B.E. FT	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty: Poonam P. Bari	Designation: Asst. Prof.

tl	he programme.	Tananbie Ter	doack on	the curriculu	im for review of syllabus / to improve quality of
1.	. Rate the struc	ture of the cu	rriculum f	ramed for the	entire program
	OExcellent S	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
2.					arses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent 5	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of the syllab	us for the c	Control of the Contro	ion to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent S			OAverage	O Poor
4.	. Rate the seque				
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O'Good	OAverage	O Poor
5.			V		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the adequ	ateness of te	tbooks and		oks mentioned for the courses.
	OExcellent C	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.	Rate the potent	ial of the stu	dents in un		e course objectives.
	OExcellent O	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.			-		ourden on students.
	OExcellent O	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
			•		students in the subject.
	OExcellent Ø	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	
0.					O Poor essional core area .
	OExcellent Ø	Very Good	Cood	erms of Profe	
			0000	OAverage	O Poor
ny	y other suggesti	on(s):	ne (no	urse el	apuld la alla III
I	institute should b	cleper c ad	nds u	pon the	nould be offered by the se choice of student i.e flexibile syllabus apart from fixed
-	LICCHVE.				HA TIXED
at	te:				Signature of Tonal



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

I)epartment	: EXTC		Academic year: 2018-19 Year/Semester:			
-	rogram Na						
N	ame of the	Faculty: Mg	Anita	Jachar	Designation: Asst. Prof.		
Lin	e programm	ie.			m for review of syllabus / to improve quality o		
1.	Rate the str	ucture of the ci	urriculum f	ramed for the	entire program.		
		OVery Good			O Poor		
2,	Rate the ap	propriateness o	of the seque	nces of the cou	rses provided in the curriculum.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
3.	Committee of the commit	The second services of the second	STREET PROPERTY.		on to the competencies expected by		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
4.	Rate the seq	uence of the ut	oits/module	s in the course			
	O Excellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
5,	Rate the dis	tribution of cre	dits to the	Olivera	0.001		
	Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverses	0-		
6.	Rate the ade	quateness of to	rthanta	Oriverage	O Poor		
	Excellent	OVery Good	O Cont	d reference boo	oks mentioned for the courses.		
		Coour	O0000	OAverage	O Poor		
	OFvooling	ential of the stu	idents in un	derstanding th	e course objectives.		
		Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
	Rate the syll:	abus content fo	r the cours	es in terms of t	ourden on students.		
		C. C. J. C.	Good	OAverage	<u> </u>		
	Rate the expe	eriment list in e	time-1-4	18 11-14-14-14-15	Students in the subject.		
•	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	of	students in the subject.		
0. 1	Rate the cont	ribution at a	O 000a	OAverage	O Poor		
1	Excellent	OVC	courses in	terms of Profe	O Poor essional core area .		
	· ····································	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
ny	other sugge	estion(s): Inc	chide U, Vi	Coding	languages and skelle things throme/cas		
ite	:				and ar		



Date:

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

1		
⊢	Program Name :	Year / Semester :
1	Name of the Faculty : Ms. Smita Dange	Designation: Asst. Professor
Pl	ease rate your valuable feedback on the curriculu e programme.	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality
1.	Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the	entire program.
	OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	
2.	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the cou	urses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relatindustry/current global scenarios.	ion to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the sequence of the ubits/modules in the course	
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	
	Rate the distribution of credits to the course.	35555
	ØÉxcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference bo	
	Excellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	
	Rate the potential of the students in understanding t	
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	
	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of	
	Excellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest o	
	OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	Poor
	nan managaran da	
•	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Pro OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	fessional core area .
10	Obscerient Gvery Good O Good OAverage	OPoor

Scanned by CamScanner

Signature of Teacher

Agnel Charities'



Alumni Feedback

mmanish9876@gmail.com

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM Name * Manish Manepalli Father's Name * MSK Sarma Date of Birth * MM DD YYYY 02 / 26 / 1995 Year of Passing * 2018 Department * **Computer Engineering** Permanent Address * E-1402 Patel Heritage Sec-7, Kharghar 410210. Email *

Mobile No. *	
9619528330	
Details About Your Present Organisation	
Please Give details about your current organisation	
Type of Organisation *	
○ Self Employed	
O Private Sector	
O Public Sector	
Acdemics	
Other	
Name of Current Organisation *	
TIFR	
Designation *	
Junior Research Fellow	
Current Location *	
Colaba, Mumbai	

Field of Working *	
○ Core	
Inter Disciplinary	
O IT Industry	
Administration	
Other:	
Higher Education Please Give Details about your higher education	
Degree *	
Master's Degree	
O PhD	
Not Applicable	
Other:	
Date of Admission *	
Name of the Program *	
Name of the Institute *	

Feedback

OPoor

Plea	Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.	
1. I	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
0	Good	
o	Average	
\bigcirc	Poor	
2. I	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
0	Very Good	
0	Good	
0	Average	
•	Poor	
3. I	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
0	Good	
•	Average	

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
0	Very Good
•	Good
0	Average
0	Poor
5. I	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
o	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
•	Poor
7. I	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
0	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
0	Good
•	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
○ Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
○ Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):*
More robust focus on Computer science foundations, beyond university prescribed format, on Data structures and Algorithms. Useful for both academia and employment.
Students should be actively encouraged to seek internships outside. The student should be made aware that the department will be flexible and cooperative, if they can bag good opportunities.

Date *

igital Signature *
anish M

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name *
Anishaa Reddy
Father's Name *
J R Reddy
Date of Birth * MM DD YYYY
05 / 06 / 1997
Year of Passing *
2019
Department *
Extc
Permanent Address *
602, Rajashree Tower, Behind Mhaskar Hospital, Near Sai Baba Mandir, Rambaug lane 6, Kalyan West
Email *
Email *
anishaareddy@yahoo.co.in

Mobile No. *	
9930713236	
Details About Your Present Organisation	
Please Give details about your current organisation	
Type of Organisation *	
○ Self Employed	
Private Sector	
O Public Sector	
○ Acdemics	
Other	
Name of Current Organisation *	
Maxval Technologies	
Designation *	
Software developer	
Current Location *	
Navi Mumbai	

Field of Working *
○ Core
O Inter Disciplinary
IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
○ PhD
Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
NA
Name of the Program *
NA
Name of the Institute *
NA

Feedback

OPoor

Plea	Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.	
1. F	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *	
0	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
•	Good	
\bigcirc	Average	
\bigcirc	Poor	
2. F	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
0	Very Good	
•	Good	
0	Average	
\bigcirc	Poor	
3. F	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
\bigcirc	Good	
•	Average	

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
•	Very Good
0	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
5. I	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
o	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
•	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
0	Poor
7. I	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
0	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
o	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
Very Good
○ Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s): *
Since IT is a major sector and offers many job opportunities it would be better to include some basic programming languages in curriculum such as java, c# etc. And syllabus of some subjects such as EIM, TVE, WTP can be reduced. Also giving more importance to practicals compared to theory lectures would help further in industry.

Digital Signature *
Anishaa

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM Name * Shashank Father's Name * Surendra Date of Birth * MM DD YYYY 09 / 03 / 1993 Year of Passing * 2018 Department * **ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING** Permanent Address * 1 RAJMAHAL CHS, TALWALKAR GYM BUILDING, MADANLAL DHINGRA ROAD, PACHPAKHADI THANE WEST Email *

Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ssd3993@gmail.com

Mobile No. *
9860017213
Details About Your Present Organisation
Please Give details about your current organisation
Type of Organisation *
○ Self Employed
Private Sector
O Public Sector
○ Acdemics
Other
Name of Current Organisation *
Energus Pty Ltd
Designation *
Project Coordinator
Current Location *
Sydney

Field of Working *
Core
O Inter Disciplinary
○ IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education
Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
O PhD
O Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
18/02/2019
Name of the Program *
Renewable Energy Engineering
Name of the Institute *
University of New South Wales

Feedback

OPoor

riedse rate your valuable reedback on the cumculum for review or synabus / to improve quality or the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *
○ Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
•	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Poor
5. F	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
•	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
0	Very Good
•	Good
0	Average
\bigcirc	Poor
7. F	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
\bigcirc	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
•	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):*
 1- Curriculum can be more industrial/practical knowledge oriented. 2-Assignments/Tutorials must be updated every year as per changes in market 3- More stress can be provided on softwares training or knowledge (ex AUTOCad, PSCAD, ETAP etc) 4- Electives options should be open for all subjects 5- For final year students Or third year students, Industry expert talks can be organised so as to know what is actually needed in the market.

te *	
DD YYYY	
/ 22 / 2019	
gital Signature *	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Agnel Charities' Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.

Parents Feedback



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Na	ате & Оссир	ation of Pare	nts		
Fa	ther: M~ _Occupation	Edwin	Fern	nandes.	9 3 2 3 3
_	_Occupation	8: Mary	Maker	9.3	
Ad	Idress : 2 A	1/22 Tas	cimens	colony	, L.B.5. Marg, Kurla (w) 10 No.: 9820138634 N
N	Tumbai	- 70		Mobil	e No.: 9820138634 N
De	partment :	Mechan	ical		Year _ 2015 - 19
Ple qu	ease rate you ality of the p	r valuable fee rogramme,	dback on t	he curriculus	n for review of syllabus / to improve
1.	Rate the pro Semesters.	gram that your	ward is un	dergoing in te	rms of the workload of the courses in different
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	Offverage	O Poor
2.	Rate the qua	lity and releva	nce of the c	ourses include	d in the semester.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.	Rate the qua	lity of teaching	at the insti	itute.	
	OExcellent	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
4.	Rate the tres	atment of the st	udents by t munity cree	he faculty irre	spective of the background of the student that ing and evaluation.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	G ood	OAverage	O Poor
5.	Rate the tra	nsparency of th	e evaluatio	n system follo	wed by the institute.
	OExcellent	OVery Good	Q Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the out	comes that you	r ward has	achieved fron	the courses.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.	Rate the cou	urses in terms o s?	f their relev	ance to the la	est technologies or future
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	O Average	OPoor

8. Rate the overall facilities available			ds your ward's sell-	itom m.
OExcellent OVery Good OGoo	od OAverage	O Poor		
9. Rate the institute's support and con	tribution for you	ır ward in getting	jobs and placements	55
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo 10. Rate the transformation of your was	od Average rd after the comp	O Poor pletion of the cour	rse.	
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	d OKverage	O Poor		
Instead of giving an concentrate for them	brac	rore the tical in future	ork which	lule
ate: 24 04 19			E Tas	mine
			Signature of I	20 mont



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & Occupation of Parents	
Father: JOHNSON GEORGE	Occupation SERVILE
Mother: JUNA SCA	Occupation CE Alex
ROAD, MALAD (W), MVMRJI N Name of the Student: CLARENCE Department: (WARRE)	Mobile No.: 10.2 26.3 204 9
Department : Lynns	E 30HNSON Year 2019
Please rate your valuable feedback on the currie the programme.	culum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of
 Rate the program that your ward is undergoing semesters. 	
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAvera	
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses incl	luded in the semester.
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAvera	ge O Poor
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.	
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	ge O Pour
i. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty includes Gender, east, community creed etc. in te	irrespective of the background of the student that eaching and evaluation.
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	ge O Poor
Rate the transparency of the evaluation system fo	ollowed by the institute.
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	
Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved fr	rom the courses.
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	e OPoor
Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the	latest technologies or future technologies?
OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	
Rate the overall facilities available at the institute	contributing towards your ward's self-growth
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	
Rate the institute's support and contribution for y	our ward in getting jobs and placements
OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	
	W
10 p	Company
O. Rate the transformation of your ward after the concentration of your ward after the your	age O Poor
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
ny other suggestion(s): [RALTICAUT]	Y.
(1/10)	
	1
0: 25/4/19	Like
23/4/17	Sionature of Parent

Scanned by CamScanner



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & Occupation of Parents

Mother: Seema Chauha		Occupation_	
Address: 6/604, Nandidham			N 42-47,
Name of the Student: Skeetal	TITODA	le No .: 98191769	501.
Department : TT	hauhan		2019
Please rate your valuable feedback on th the programme.	e curriculu		
 Rate the program that your ward is und Semesters. 	ergoing in te	rms of the workload of	the courses in different
OExcellent OVery Good O Good		OPoor	
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the cou	rses include	d in the semester.	
OExcellent OVery Good O Good		OPoor	
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institu	ite.		
OExcellent OVery Good Good	OAverage	OPoor	
l. Rate the treatment of the students by the includes Gender, cast, community creed	faculty irre etc. in teach	spective of the backgro	und of the student that
OExcellent OVery Good Good			
Rate the transparency of the evaluation	system follow	ed by the institute	
OExcellent Overy Good Good		O _{Poor}	
Rate the outcomes that your ward has ac		the courses	
OExcellent Overy Good Good		OPoor	
Rate the courses in terms of their relevan	ice to the late	st technologies or futur	re technologies?
OExcellent Overy Good Good	OAverage	OPoor	
Rate the overall facilities available at the	institute con	tributing towards your	ward's self-growth.
Or Kond	DAVEIDE	Poor	
OExcellent Overy Good & Good			
Rate the institute's support and contribu	tion for your	ward in getting jobs at	nd placements.

	Rate the transformation OExcellent OVery Go				O Poor			
Any o	ther suggestion(s): Workload J Machina	to be y	eduud Jo be	for	bether	Steep	cycles.	-
Date :	24/4/19-	ь.	1.00		. ,	. 1	Signature	of Parent