



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.

1.4.1. Structured feedback on Curriculum

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology is affiliated to University of Mumbai. The Revised Curriculum (**REV-2016**) was implemented with effect from the academic year 2016-2017. In addition to Outcome-Based Education(OBE), Choice Based Credit and Grading system was implemented for the First Year of Engineering from the academic year 2016-2017. Subsequently, this system is carried forward for Second Year Engineering in the academic year 2017-2018, for Third Year Final Year Engineering in the academic years 2018-2019, 2019-2020 respectively.

Normally the revision of the curriculum takes place every four years. Being an affiliated institute to University of Mumbai, Institute has a limited role in the revision of the curriculum.

The institute initiated stakeholder feedback policy for REV-2016 curriculum for continuous improvement in curriculum design. The main purpose of this policy is to collect the stakeholders' perceptions of the effectiveness of the curriculum. Feedback is collected from the stakeholders such as Students, Faculty, Alumni and Parents. It is carried out in different modes like online feedback through Google forms, Institute's APMS portal and written feedback. The collective feedback of all the stakeholders was analyzed and a consolidated report of the recommendations is prepared.

The recommendations are communicated to the Dean of Faculty of Science & Technology, University of Mumbai, for consideration in the forthcoming curriculum revision.



SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ogram Name :		Academic year :		
ogram Name :	Year/Semester :			
me of the Student :		Roll Number :		
ase rate your valuable feedback o programme.	n the curriculu	un for review of syllabus / to improve quality o		
Rate how challenging was the syllab	us offered by th	e courses.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the appropriateness of the sequ	sence of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the depth of the syllabus of the industry/current global scenarios.	courses in relat	ion to the competencies expected by		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the sequence of the units/modu	les in the course	s.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the adequateness of the textboo	oks and referenc	e books mentioned for the courses.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the syllabus content of the cour	ses in terms of l	our den on the students.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the design of the courses in terr	ms of extra learn	ning or self-learning .		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the flexibility in choosing the el	lectives in relatio	on to technology advancements.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the percentage of the courses o	ffering LAB con	nponents.		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
Rate the composition of the courses Discipline core, discipline elective, o		c science, Engineering science, Humanities, oject etc.?		
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor		
other suggestion(s):	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			
		<u> </u>		
e:		Signature of Student		





Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

_	epartment :				Academic year :		
P	rogram Nan	ne ;			Year / Semester :		
N	ame of the I	aculty:		Designation :			
	ase rate you programm		dback on t	the curriculu	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality o		
1.	Rate the str	acture of the cu	rriculum fi	ramed for the	entire program.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
2.	Rate the app	propriateness o	the sequer	ices of the cou	rses provided in the curriculum.		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
3.		th of the syllab trent global sce		ourse in relati	ion to the competencies expected by		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
4.	Rate the seq	uence of the ub	its/modules	in the course.			
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
5.	Rate the dist	ribution of cre	dits to the c	ourse.			
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
6.	Rate the ade	quateness of te	xtbooks and	d reference bo	oks mentioned for the courses.		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
7.	Rate the pot	ential of the stu	dents in un	derstanding t	he course objectives.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
8.	Rate the syll	abus content fo	r the cours	es in terms of	burden on students.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
9.	Rate the exp	eriment list in	stimulating	the interest of	f students in the subject.		
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
10.	Rate the con	tribution of the	courses in	terms of Pro	fessional core area .		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		





Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name of the A	lumni :							
Father's Nam		8						
DOB (DD/MN	1/YY) :							
Year of Passin	g:	De	Department :					
Permanent Ac	ldress :			10.				
E-mail ID :	(Action and A	S. N. S.	-0.17	M	obile No.	1	20150	-
Present	Type:	Self-employed	Private Secto	r Public	Sector	Acaden	nies	Other
Organization	Name :	8						
Designation :	121			Pr	esent Lo	cation :		
Field of world	ng : 🔲	Core Inter-disc	ciplinary []	IT Industry	y Add	ministratio	on [Other
(4) (5) (7) (7)	06	Master's Degre	e Ph.D.	Not Ap	plicable	Othe	r	
Higher Educ	ation	Year of admission	:	Name of th	18-5122 (24)	mme :		
		Name of the Institu	ate :					
1. Rate the OExcelle 2. Rate the	me. dequate of OV	uess of the courses of the course of the	offered in the pr OAverage at to bridge the	Poor	antigation of the			11 94 61 5 7 6 7 7 7 9 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
1. Rate the a OExcelle 2. Rate the a OExcelle 3. Rate the a OExcelle 4. Rate the a	me. adequate at OV sufficience at OV curriculu at OV skills acq	mess of the courses of the Courses of the Courses of the Courses of the Course of the	offered in the pr OAverage It to bridge the OAverage Ir current profe OAverage	rogram. O Poor gap betwee O Poor essional star	en scader ndards.	nis sud in	dustry.	
1. Rate the description of the program 1. Rate the description of the	adequate at OV sufficience at OV surriculu at OV skills acq at OV astitute	ness of the courses of ery Good Goo	offered in the property of the Average of Caverage of	pogram. Poor gap betwee Poor ssional star Poor the industry	en scader ndards. y challen	nis sud in ges/requir	dustry.	
1. Rate the described as Rate the described	adequate at OV sufficient at OV curriculu at OV skills acq at OV astitute at OV	ness of the courses of the courses of the courses of the courses of the course of the	offered in the property of the Average of Av	Poor ssional star Poor the industry Poor ateness for Poor	en acaden ndards. y challen practical	nis sud in ges/requir	dustry.	
1. Rate the description of the program 1. Rate the description of the	adequate at OV sufficience at OV surriculu at OV skills acquit OV astitute at OV offering of	ness of the courses of the courses of the courses of the courses of the course of the	offered in the property of the Average of extra learns of extra learns of the property of the	pogram. Poor gap betwee Poor ssional star Poor the industry Poor ateness for Poor	en acader ndards, y challen practical nents,	nis and in ges/requir	dustry.	
1. Rate the described as Rate the described	adequate at OV sufficience at OV surriculu at OV skills acq at OV fering of at OV lesign of at OV	ness of the courses of the course of the courses in terms of the courses in terms of the courses in terms	offered in the property of the Daverage of Average of extra learns of extra le	gap between Poor ssional star Poor the industry ateness for Poor advancem Poor ing or self-ling	en acaden ndards. y challen practical nents. learning	nia and in ges/requir exposure.	dustry.	
the program 1. Rate the some of the program 2. Rate the some of the procedure 3. Rate the some of the procedure 4. Rate the some of the procedure 5. Rate the some of the procedure 6. Rate the some of the procedure 7. Rate the some of the procedure 8. Rate the some of the procedure 9. Rate the some of the procedure 9. Rate the some of the procedure 9. Rate the some of the procedure 1. Rate the some of the procedure of the procedure 1. Rate the some of the procedure	adequate at OV sufficience at OV curriculu at OV akills acquat OV attoring of	ness of the courses of the courses of the courses of the courses of the course of the course of the courses of the courses in terms of the course of the courses in terms of the course of the courses in terms of the courses in terms of the courses in terms of the courses of the course of the courses of the courses of the course of the cour	offered in the property of the Average of Average of Extra learns of Average of Extra learns o	pogram. Poor gap betwee Poor ssional star Poor the industry Poor ateness for Poor advancem Poor poor placement Poor	en acaden ndards. y challen practical nents. learning	nia and in ges/requir exposure.	dustry.	
the program 1. Rate the some of the excellence	adequate at OV sufficience at OV surriculu at OV skills acq at OV nstitute at OV raining at OV raining at OV raining at OV	ness of the courses of the courses of the courses of the courses of the course of the course of the course of the courses in terms and placement cell in the course of the courses of the course of the courses of the course of the c	offered in the property of the Daverage of Average of Average of Extra learns of Average of Extra learns of Ex	gap betwee O Poor ssional star O Poor the industry O Poor ateness for O Poor advancem O Poor ing or self-l O Poor placement O Poor bers. O Poor	ndards, y challen practical nents, learning	nis and in ges/requir exposure	dustry.	



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

father :		Occupation			
Mother:	Occupation				
Address :					
		le No.:			
Name of the Student :		5.			
Department :		Year			
Please rate your valuable feedback on t he programme.	the curriculu	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality			
 Rate the program that your ward is un Semesters. 	idergoing in te	rms of the workload of the courses in different			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	O Average	O Poor			
. Rate the quality and relevance of the c	ourses include	d in the semester.			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good					
The contract of the Contract o	Commercial Control				
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the inst	100	8 <u>2</u> 8			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
 Rate the treatment of the students by t includes Gender, cast, community cree 		spective of the background of the student that ing and evaluation.			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the transparency of the evaluation	n system follow	wed by the institute.			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good					
6. Rate the outcomes that your ward has	achieved from	the courses			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good					
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relev					
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
 Rate the overall facilities available at t 	he institute co	ntributing towards your ward's self-growth.			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
Rate the institute's support and contri	bution for you	r ward in getting jobs and placements.			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	525	O Poor			
	and the second	the will a manner of the state of the			
0. Rate the transformation of your ward		oletion of the course.			
OExcellent OVery Good O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
y other suggestion(s):					
TO CONTRACT TO CON					



SAMPLE FORMS OF FEEDBACK COLLECTED



Students Feedback



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: BEXTO		Academic year :	SH2018	
Program Name: BE	- EXTC	Year/Semester :	2019 - Sem 5	
Name of the Student:	RITOD VERMA	Roll Number :	301762	

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

th	e programn	ie.			or symbols / to improve quanty o
1.	Rate how c	hallenging was	the syllabus	offered by the	courses.
		Overy Good			OPoor
2.	Rate the ap	propriateness o	f the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.	Rate the de- industry/cu	pth of the syllah rrent global sce	ous of the co	ourses in relati	on to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
4.	Rate the sec	quence of the un	its/module:	s in the courses	
	O Excellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Pour
5.	Rate the ade	equateness of th	e textbook	s and reference	books mentioned for the courses.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the syl	labus content of	f the course	s in terms of b	urden on the students.
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.	Rate the des	ign of the cours	ses in terms	of extra learn	ing or self-learning .
		OVery Good			O Poor
8.	Rate the flex	dibility in choos	ing the elec	tives in relation	n to technology advancements.
		OVery Good			O Poor
).	Rate the per	centage of the c	ourses offe	ring LAB com	ponents.
	COLLEGE ST. S.	OVery Good		11.75	O Poor
0.	Rate the com Discipline co	position of the ore, discipline e	courses in t	terms of Basic n elective, proj	science, Engineering science, Humanities,
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
					latest technological develop- Subject, NOT FOR EXAMINATIONS I FOR STUDENTS! KNOWLEDGE
ne	nts as	part of	syllabu	S for the	Subject NOT FOR EXAMINATIONS
司	RATHER	FOR CONS	S'TANT	UPDATION	I FOR STUDENTS! KNOWLEDGE
	e: 10 - D				With the second
-	10-0	0 2010			Signature of Student



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi students feedback on curriculum

Department: Etectvical	Academic year: 2018-19
Program Name : BE-Flet	Year/Semester: 2nd / 3rd
Name of the Student : Mahesh Potday	Roll Number: Woldto

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

***	e programo	ue.					
1.	Rate how c	hallenging was	the syllabus	s offered by th	e courses.		
	OExcellen	t OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
2.	Rate the ap	propriateness o	f the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in t	he curriculum.	
		Very Good			O Poor		
3.	Rate the de industry/cu	pth of the syllal irrent global sco	ous of the co	ourses in relati	on to the compet	encies expected	l by
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor		
4.	Rate the sec	quence of the un	its/module:	s in the course:	š.		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	10 Good	OAverage	O Poor		
5.	Rate the ade	equateness of th	e textbooks	and reference	books mentione	d for the cours	es.
		OVery Good			O Poor		53/1/
6.	Rate the syl	labus content of	the course	s in terms of b	urden on the stud	lents.	
	State of the state	OVery Good			O Poor		
7.	Rate the des	ign of the cours	es in terms	of extra learni	ng or self-learnin	ıg.	
		O Very Good			O Poor	MO. III	
3.	Rate the flex	ibility in choosi	ng the elect	ives in relation	to technology a	ivancements.	
	14.7	OVery Good			O Poor		
	Rate the per-	centage of the c	ourses offer	ing LAB comp	onents.		
		OVery Good		200	O Poor		
0.	Rate the com Discipline co	position of the ore, discipline el	courses in to	erms of Basic s	cience, Engineer	ing science, Hu	imanities,
-	STATE OF THE PARTY	OVery Good			O Poor		
ny	other sugge	estion(s):	advo	inte le	Chnology	Should	intluded
1	n syll					27.50.10	173(100) 64

Date: 12-12-18

Signature of Student



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : Con Pule	R ENGIN	HECING	Academic year:			
Program Name : BE	anditre 1	of safer all	V10			
Name of the Student : Y	SHUTER SI	Roll Number :				
Please rate your valuable the programme.	feedback on	the curricul	um for review of syllabus / to improve quality			
1. Rate how challenging w	as the syllabu	s offered by th	e courses.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
			rses provided in the curriculum.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
	labus of the c		ion to the competencies expected by			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
4. Rate the sequence of the			s.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od Ø Good	OAverage	O Poor			
			e books mentioned for the courses.			
OExcellent OVery Go	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
6. Rate the syllabus content						
OExcellent OVery Goo	od O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the design of the con	A CO					
OExcellent OVery Goo	od Good	OAverage	O Poor			
			n to technology advancements.			
OExcellent OVery Goo	d Ø Good	OAverage	O Poor			
. Rate the percentage of th						
OExcellent Overy Goo			O Poor			
	ie courses in	terms of Basic	science, Engineering science Humanities			
OExcellent OVery Goo			O Poor			
ny other suggestion(s):						

Date: 25/11/2018

Signature of Student



Faculty Feedback



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: IT	Academic year: 2010-19
Program Name: B.E. FT	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty: Poonam P. Bari	Designation: Asst. Prof.

tl	he programme.	Tananbie Ter	doack on	the curriculu	im for review of syllabus / to improve quality of
1.	. Rate the struc	ture of the cu	rriculum f	ramed for the	entire program
	OExcellent S	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
2.					arses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent 5	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of the syllab	us for the c	Control of the Contro	ion to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent S			OAverage	O Poor
4.	. Rate the seque				
	OExcellent C	Very Good	O'Good	OAverage	O Poor
5.			V		
	OExcellent C	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the adequ	ateness of te	tbooks and		oks mentioned for the courses.
	OExcellent C	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.	Rate the potent	ial of the stu	dents in un		e course objectives.
	OExcellent O	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.			-		ourden on students.
	OExcellent O	Very Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
			•		students in the subject.
	OExcellent Ø	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	
0.					O Poor essional core area .
	OExcellent Ø	Very Good	Cood	erms of Profe	
			0000	OAverage	O Poor
ny	y other suggesti	on(s):	ne (no	urse el	apuld la alla III
I	institute should b	cleper c ad	nds u	pon the	nould be offered by the se choice of student i.e flexibile syllabus apart from fixed
-	LICCHVE.				HA TIXED
at	te:				Signature of Tonal



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

I)epartment	: EXTC			Academic year: 2018-19			
-	rogram Na				Year / Semester :			
N	ame of the	Faculty: Mg	Anita	Jachar	Designation: Asst. Prof.			
Lin	e programm	ie.			m for review of syllabus / to improve quality o			
1.	Rate the str	ucture of the ci	urriculum f	ramed for the	entire program.			
		OVery Good			O Poor			
2,	Rate the ap	propriateness o	of the seque	nces of the cou	rses provided in the curriculum.			
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
3.	Committee of the commit	The second section of the second	STREET PROPERTY.		on to the competencies expected by			
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
4.	Rate the seq	uence of the ut	oits/module	s in the course				
	O Excellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
5,	Rate the dis	tribution of cre	dits to the	Olivera	0.001			
	Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverses	0-			
6.	Rate the ade	quateness of to	rthanta	Oriverage	O Poor			
	Excellent	OVery Good	O Cont	d reference boo	oks mentioned for the courses.			
		Coour	O0000	OAverage	O Poor			
	OFvooling	ential of the stu	idents in un	derstanding th	e course objectives.			
		Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
	Rate the syll:	abus content fo	r the cours	es in terms of t	ourden on students.			
		C. C. J. C.	Good	OAverage	<u> </u>			
	Rate the expe	eriment list in e	time-1-4	18 11-14-14-14-15	Students in the subject.			
•	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	of	students in the subject.			
0. 1	Rate the cont	ribution at a	O 000a	OAverage	O Poor			
1	Excellent	OVC	courses in	terms of Profe	O Poor essional core area .			
	· ····································	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
ny	other sugge	estion(s): Inc	chide U, Vi	Coding	languages and skelle things throme/cas			
ite	:				and as			



Date:

Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

1		
⊢	Program Name :	Year / Semester :
1	Name of the Faculty : Ms. Smita Dange	Designation: Asst. Professor
Pl	ease rate your valuable feedback on the curriculu e programme.	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality
1.	Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the	entire program.
	OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	
2.	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the cou	urses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relatindustry/current global scenarios.	ion to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the sequence of the ubits/modules in the course	
	OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	
	Rate the distribution of credits to the course.	35555
	ØÉxcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference bo	
	Excellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	
	Rate the potential of the students in understanding t	
	OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	
	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of	
	Excellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	O Poor
	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest o	
	OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	Poor
	nan managaran da	
•	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Pro OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	fessional core area .
10	Obscerient Gvery Good O Good OAverage	OPoor

Scanned by CamScanner

Signature of Teacher



Alumni Feedback

Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

mmanish9876@gmail.com

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM Name * Manish Manepalli Father's Name * MSK Sarma Date of Birth * MM DD YYYY 02 / 26 / 1995 Year of Passing * 2018 Department * **Computer Engineering** Permanent Address * E-1402 Patel Heritage Sec-7, Kharghar 410210. Email *

Mobile No. *	
9619528330	
Details About Your Present Organisation	
Please Give details about your current organisation	
Type of Organisation *	
○ Self Employed	
O Private Sector	
O Public Sector	
Acdemics	
Other	
Name of Current Organisation *	
TIFR	
Designation *	
Junior Research Fellow	
Current Location *	
Colaba, Mumbai	

Field of Working *	
○ Core	
Inter Disciplinary	
O IT Industry	
Administration	
Other:	
Higher Education Please Give Details about your higher education	
Degree *	
Master's Degree	
O PhD	
Not Applicable	
Other:	
Date of Admission *	
Name of the Program *	
Name of the Institute *	

Feedback

OPoor

Plea	Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.	
1. I	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
0	Good	
o	Average	
\bigcirc	Poor	
2. I	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
0	Very Good	
0	Good	
0	Average	
•	Poor	
3. I	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
0	Good	
•	Average	

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
0	Very Good
•	Good
0	Average
0	Poor
5. I	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
o	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
•	Poor
7. I	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
0	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
0	Good
•	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
○ Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
○ Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):*
More robust focus on Computer science foundations, beyond university prescribed format, on Data structures and Algorithms. Useful for both academia and employment.
Students should be actively encouraged to seek internships outside. The student should be made aware that the department will be flexible and cooperative, if they can bag good opportunities.

Date *

igital Signature *
anish M

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name *
Anishaa Reddy
Father's Name *
J R Reddy
Date of Birth * MM DD YYYY
05 / 06 / 1997
Year of Passing *
2019
Department *
Extc
Permanent Address *
602, Rajashree Tower, Behind Mhaskar Hospital, Near Sai Baba Mandir, Rambaug lane 6, Kalyan West
Email *
Email *
anishaareddy@yahoo.co.in

Mobile No. *	
9930713236	
Details About Your Present Organisation	
Please Give details about your current organisation	
Type of Organisation *	
○ Self Employed	
Private Sector	
O Public Sector	
○ Acdemics	
Other	
Name of Current Organisation *	
Maxval Technologies	
Designation *	
Software developer	
Current Location *	
Navi Mumbai	

Field of Working *
○ Core
O Inter Disciplinary
IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
○ PhD
Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
NA
Name of the Program *
NA
Name of the Institute *
NA

Feedback

OPoor

Plea	Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.	
1. F	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *	
0	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
•	Good	
\bigcirc	Average	
\bigcirc	Poor	
2. F	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
0	Very Good	
•	Good	
0	Average	
\bigcirc	Poor	
3. F	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *	
\bigcirc	Excellent	
\bigcirc	Very Good	
\bigcirc	Good	
•	Average	

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
•	Very Good
0	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
5. I	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
o	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
•	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
0	Poor
7. I	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
0	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
o	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
Very Good
○ Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s): *
Since IT is a major sector and offers many job opportunities it would be better to include some basic programming languages in curriculum such as java, c# etc. And syllabus of some subjects such as EIM, TVE, WTP can be reduced. Also giving more importance to practicals compared to theory lectures would help further in industry.

Digital Signature *
Anishaa

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM Name * Shashank Father's Name * Surendra Date of Birth * MM DD YYYY 09 / 03 / 1993 Year of Passing * 2018 Department * **ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING** Permanent Address * 1 RAJMAHAL CHS, TALWALKAR GYM BUILDING, MADANLAL DHINGRA ROAD, PACHPAKHADI THANE WEST Email *

Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

ssd3993@gmail.com

Mobile No. *
9860017213
Details About Your Present Organisation
Please Give details about your current organisation
Type of Organisation *
○ Self Employed
Private Sector
O Public Sector
○ Acdemics
Other
Name of Current Organisation *
Energus Pty Ltd
Designation *
Project Coordinator
Current Location *
Sydney

Field of Working *
Core
O Inter Disciplinary
○ IT Industry
Administration
Other:
Higher Education
Please Give Details about your higher education
Degree *
Master's Degree
O PhD
O Not Applicable
Other:
Date of Admission *
18/02/2019
Name of the Program *
Renewable Energy Engineering
Name of the Institute *
University of New South Wales

Feedback

OPoor

riedse rate your valuable reedback on the cumculum for review or synabus / to improve quality or the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
○ Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. *
○ Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. *
Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average

4. I	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
•	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Poor
5. F	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. *
\bigcirc	Excellent
•	Very Good
\bigcirc	Good
\bigcirc	Average
0	Poor
6. I	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. *
0	Excellent
0	Very Good
•	Good
0	Average
\bigcirc	Poor
7. F	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning . *
\bigcirc	Excellent
\bigcirc	Very Good
•	Good
\bigcirc	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
Average
O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. *
Excellent
○ Very Good
● Good
Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s): *
 1- Curriculum can be more industrial/practical knowledge oriented. 2-Assignments/Tutorials must be updated every year as per changes in market 3- More stress can be provided on softwares training or knowledge (ex AUTOCad, PSCAD, ETAP etc) 4- Electives options should be open for all subjects 5- For final year students Or third year students, Industry expert talks can be organised so as to know what is actually needed in the market.

rte * DD YYYY	
/ 22 / 2019	
gital Signature *	

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Agnel Charities' Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.

Parents Feedback



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Na	ате & Оссир	ation of Pare	nts		
Fa	ther: M~ _Occupation	Edwin	Fern	nandes.	9 3 2 3 4 4 4 4
_	_Occupation	8: Mary	Maker	9.3	
Ad	Idress : 2 A	1/22 Tas	cimens	colony	, L.B.5. Marg, Kurla (w) 10 No.: 9820138634 N
1	Tumbai	- 70		Mobil	e No.: 9820138634 N
De	partment :	Mechan	ical		Year _ 2015 - 19
Ple qu	ease rate you ality of the p	r valuable fee rogramme.	dback on t	he curriculus	n for review of syllabus / to improve
1.	Rate the pro Semesters.	gram that your	ward is un	dergoing in te	rms of the workload of the courses in different
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	Offverage	O Poor
2.	Rate the qua	lity and releva	nce of the c	ourses include	d in the semester.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
3.	Rate the qua	lity of teaching	at the insti	itute.	
	OExcellent	Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
4.	Rate the tres	atment of the st	udents by t munity cree	he faculty irre	spective of the background of the student that ing and evaluation.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	G ood	OAverage	O Poor
5.	Rate the tra	nsparency of th	e evaluatio	n system follo	wed by the institute.
	OExcellent	OVery Good	Q Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the out	comes that you	r ward has	achieved fron	the courses.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.	Rate the cou	urses in terms o s?	f their relev	ance to the la	est technologies or future
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	O Average	OPoor

	erall facilities available a			ards your	manu saan g	10111
O Excellent	OVery Good OGoo	d OAverage	O Poor			
9. Rate the inst	itute's support and cont	ribution for you	ır ward in gettir	ng jobs and	l placements.	
OExcellent 10. Rate the tran	OVery Good O Good	Average	O Poor oletion of the co	urse.		
O Excellent	OVery Good O Good	O Average	O Poor			
Any other suggest Instead of Can Conce be helpful	Intifate Jon	brac		Mork	which	we
Can conce	Introde Jon	brac	tical, c	yorkl	The second liverage and the se	ule cap mine



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & Occupation of Parents	
Father: JOHNSON GEORGE	Occupation_ SERVILE
Mother. JUNASCA	Occupation CEALL
ROAD, MALAD (W), MYMRJ) M Name of the Student: CLARENCE Department:	lobile No.: 10 3 26 3 404 9
Department : LOMAS	Year 2019
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curricu	
 Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in Semesters. 	
OExcellent Overy Good Good OAverage	
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses inclu	aded in the semester.
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	e O Poor
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.	
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	e O Poor
Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty in includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in tea	rrespective of the background of the student that sching and evaluation.
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	e O Poor
Rate the transparency of the evaluation system foll	lowed by the institute.
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	OPoor
Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved fro	om the courses.
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	Poor
Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the l	atest technologies or future technologies?
OExcellent OVery Good OGood OAverage	
Rate the overall facilities available at the institute of	contributing towards your ward's self-growth.
OExcellent Overy Good O Good OAverage	
Rate the institute's support and contribution for yo	our ward in getting jobs and placements.
OExcellent Overy Good OGood OAverage	
	the second secon
to n	and the second s
O. Rate the transformation of your ward after the co	ee O Poor
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
y other suggestion(s): PRALTICAUTY	
11/16	
: 25/4/19	Like
29/4/17	Signature of Parent

Scanned by CamScanner



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Father: Sarject Chamban		Occupation_	Private Sector
Mother: Seema Chaul		Occupation_	Home Wife.
Address: 6/604 Mindidla	A. A.10	Not no 29, seit	87 42-47.
Slawoods, Nan Mine		ile No .: 9819176	501.
Name of the Student : Skeetal	Chauhan		
Department :		Year	2019
Please rate your valuable feedback on the programme.	the curriculu	m for review of syllat	ous / to improve quality
 Rate the program that your ward is un Semesters. 		erms of the workload of	the courses in different
OExcellent OVery Good O Good		O Poor	
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the c	ourses include	ed in the semester.	
OExcellent OVery Good O Good		OPoor	
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the insti	itute.		
OExcellent OVery Good Good	AF SENDANDACIN	OPoor	
4. Rate the treatment of the students by t includes Gender, cast, community cree	he faculty irre d etc. in teach	spective of the backgro	und of the student that
OExcellent OVery Good Good	OAverage	OPoor	
5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation	system follow	wed by the institute	
OExcellent Overy Good & Good		O _{Poor}	
Rate the outcomes that your ward has	achieved from	the courses.	
OExcellent Overy Good & Good		OPoor	
Rate the courses in terms of their relevant			re technologies?
OExcellent Overy Good O Good	OAverage	OPoor	
Rate the overall facilities available at the	ie institute cor	tributing towards you	r ward's self-growth.
Or Sand	OAverage	OPoor	
Rate the institute's support and contrib	ution for you	ward in getting jobs a	nd placements.
OExcellent Overy Good Good	OAverage	OPoor	Partition
VExcellent Overy Good O'Good			

	Rate the transformation OExcellent OVery Go				O Poor			
Any o	ther suggestion(s): Workload it Machine	is be y	educed Jo be	-fer impr	bether	Steep	cycles.	-
Date :	24/4/19-		1.00		. ,	, 1	Signature	e of Parent

(2)

SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

Summary of Students Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

<u>Total number</u> of <u>students</u> <u>given feedback</u> :- 527

						Rating Pe	rcentage					
Sr.No	Details	No of students rated '5'	% of students	No of students rated '4'	% of students	No of students rated '3'	% of students	No of students rated '2'	% of students	No of students rated '1'	% of students	Average Percentage
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	106	20%	183	35%	198	38%	26	5%	14	3%	73%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	92	17%	184	35%	190	36%	42	8%	19	4%	71%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	84	16%	143	27%	210	40%	56	11%	34	6%	67%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.	94	18%	175	33%	209	40%	30	6%	19	4%	71%
5	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	109	21%	188	36%	184	35%	25	5%	21	4%	73%
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden on the students.	122	23%	169	32%	195	37%	30	6%	11	2%	74%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	88	17%	164	31%	190	36%	56	11%	29	6%	69%
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.	102	19%	135	26%	188	36%	56	11%	46	9%	67%
9	Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.	93	18%	185	35%	182	35%	45	9%	22	4%	71%
10	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project etc.?	97	18%	168	32%	210	40%	31	6%	21	4%	71%

FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

Summary of Faculty Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

<u>Total number of faculty given feedback :- 66</u>

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.No	Details	No of faculty rated '5'	% of s faculty	No of students rated '4'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '3'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '2'	% of faculty	No of faculty rated '1'	% of faculty	Average Percentage
1	Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.	9	14%	38	58%	14	21%	2	3%	3	5%	75%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.	11	17%	34	52%	15	23%	5	8%	1	2%	75%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus for the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	5	8%	30	45%	19	29%	10	15%	2	3%	68%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.	6	9%	33	50%	22	33%	3	5%	2	3%	72%
5	Rate the distribution of credits to the course.	12	18%	34	52%	18	27%	1	2%	1	2%	77%
6	Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	16	24%	32	48%	16	24%	0	0%	2	3%	78%
7	Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.	4	6%	39	59%	18	27%	4	6%	1	2%	72%
8	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.	3	5%	26	39%	29	44%	5	8%	3	5%	66%
9	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.	7	11%	37	56%	15	23%	4	6%	3	5%	72%
10	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of Professional core area .	7	11%	37	56%	15	23%	5	8%	2	3%	73%

FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

Summary of Alumni Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

<u>Total number of Alumni given feedback :- 163</u>

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.N	Details	No of Alumni rated '5'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '4'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '3'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '2'	% of Alumni	No of Alumni rated '1'	% of Alumni	Average Percentage
1	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program	25	15.3%	52	31.9%	53	32.5%	23	14.1%	10	6.1%	67%
2	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry	16	9.8%	29	17.8%	54	33.1%	39	23.7%	25	15.3%	56%
3	Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards	20	12.4%	41	25.2%	49	30.4%	39	23.3%	14	8.6%	61%
4	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements	23	14.1%	41	25.2%	53	32.5%	28	17.2%	18	11%	62%
5	Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure	27	16.6%	56	34.4%	49	30.1%	20	12.3%	11	6.6%	68%
6	Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements	30	18.4%	48	29.4%	40	24.6%	23	14.1%	22	13.5%	65%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning	21	12.9%	46	28.2%	47	28.8%	32	19.7%	17	10.4%	62%
8	Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities	17	10.4%	33	20.2%	57	35%	27	16.6%	29	17.8%	57%
9	Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers	48	29.6%	56	34.4%	33	20.2%	15	9.2%	11	6.6%	74%
10	Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students	23	14.1%	56	34.4%	53	32.5%	14	8.6%	17	10.4%	66%

FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

Summary of Parents Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

<u>Total number of students given feedback :- 77</u>

	Rating Percentage											
Sr.N o	Details	No of parents rated '5'	% of parents	No of parents rated '4'	% of parents	No of parents rated '3'	% of s parents	No of parents rated '2'	% of parents	No of parents rated '1'	% of parents	Average Percentage
1	Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters	19	25%	33	43%	16	21%	12	16%	6	8%	79%
2	Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester	14	18%	30	39%	30	39%	10	13%	13	17%	81%
3	Rate the quality of teaching at the institute	25	32%	32	42%	18	23%	7	9%	10	13%	86%
4	Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation	28	36%	29	38%	15	19%	14	18%	12	16%	89%
5	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute	24	31%	29	38%	19	25%	13	17%	12	16%	86%
6	Rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses	20	26%	35	45%	17	22%	14	18%	10	13%	85%
7	Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?	18	23%	32	42%	17	22%	16	21%	8	10%	80%
8	Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your ward's self-growth	24	31%	29	38%	17	22%	10	13%	10	13%	82%
9	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements	26	34%	21	27%	15	19%	19	25%	7	9%	79%
10	Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course	18	23%	38	49%	18	23%	11	14%	10	13%	85%



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi, Navi Mumbai.

Summary of the Students Feedback Analysis:

Based on the students feedback analysis for Rev-2016 curriculum, the following are the observations:

- 1. The depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios is inadequate.
- 2. The design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning is insufficient.
- 3. Less flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.

Summary of the Faculty Feedback Analysis:

Based on the Faculty feedback analysis for Rev-2016 curriculum, the following are the observations:

- 1. The depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios is inadequate.
- 2. The syllabus content for the courses in terms of the burden on students is excess.

Summary of the Alumni Feedback Analysis:

Based on the Alumni feedback analysis for Rev-2016 curriculum, the following are the observations:

- 1. The sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry is inadequate.
- 2. The curriculum is inadequate in relation to the current professional standards.
- 3. The design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self- learning is insufficient.

Overall Summary of the stakeholders Feedback Analysis:

Based on the feedback analysis of all the stakeholders for **REV-2016 Curriculum**, following is the summary of the inputs/suggestions that need to be considered while designing the forthcoming Curriculum:

- 1. The content of the curriculum needs to be in pace with the latest trends and technologies to meet the expected industry/current global scenarios. The content should equip students' with the employability skills needed by industry.
- 2. Total contact hours spent on the curriculum is more leading to a shortage of time for extra learning. The number of contact hours also imposes a lot of burden on students. As a result, there is less scope of engaging time in taking up additional/value-added courses that inculcate a self-learning attitude.
- 3. More Optional courses can be included in the curriculum for providing flexibility in choosing the courses.